

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313 1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/087,611	03/01/2002	Robert E. Baker	11564.0050.NPUS00 (Former	7955
	90 07.16.2003			
HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE			EXAMINER	
750 Bering Driv Houston, TX 7			ESTRADA, ANGEL R	ANGEL R
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2831	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/087,611	BAKER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Angel R. Estrada	2831				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	i the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28.	<u> April 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Ap	plication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priceapplication from the International BookSee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		е			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. {	3 119(e) (to a provisional appl	lication).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 	• •					
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) 🔲 Notice of Ir	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4, 6-12, 14, 17 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garvin n (US 2,480,568).

Regarding claim 1, Garvin discloses a remote distribution cabinet (see figure 2) comprising: at least one panelboard (46, 47) having a plurality of circuit breakers (68, or see column 6 lines 59-65); a plurality of structural members (see figure 2) attached to the panelboard (column 5 lines 28-65), thereby forming a frame structure (see figure 2) including the panelboard (see figure 46); and a bottom member (32) attached to the structural members (see figure 2).

Regarding claim 4, Garvin discloses the remote distribution cabinet (see figure 2) further comprising at least one access panel (26 or 31) covering the plurality of circuit breakers (see figure 4 and 5).

Regarding claim 6, Garvin discloses the remote distribution cabinet (see figure 2) wherein said access panel (26 and 31) is adjustable to allow for a shift in the position of the circuit breakers (68) within the panelboard (see figure 26 and 31).

Art Unit: 2831

Regarding claim 7, Garvin discloses the remote distribution cabinet (see figure 2) comprising at least two panelboards (see figure 1) wherein one or more panelboards are arranged to receive power from different sources (see figure 5)

Regarding claim 8, Garvin discloses the remote distribution cabinet (see figure 2) further comprising a tie-breaker (see figure 7) for providing power from one of a plurality of sources to one or more panelboards (46,47).

Regarding claim 9, Garvin discloses the remote distribution cabinet (see figure 2) further comprising a tie-breaker (see figure 7) for providing power from one of a plurality of sources to one or more panelboards (46,47).

Regarding claim 10, Garvin discloses the remote distribution cabinet (see figure 2) comprising where a current monitoring unit (58) to measure the current of said at least one panelboard (see figure 7).

Regarding claim 11, Garvin discloses the remote distribution cabinet (see figure 2) further comprising at least one main circuit breaker (68 or see figure 7)

Regarding claim 12, Garvin discloses the remote distribution cabinet (see figure 2) further comprising an access panel (26, 31) separately covering each of the at least one panelboard (see figure 4 and 5), wherein when the access panel is removed, service can be performed on the at least one panelboard (46,47) without exposing other energized components of the remote distribution cabinet (see figure 4 and 5).

Regarding claim 14, Garvin discloses the remote distribution cabinet (see figure 2) comprising: at least one panelboard (46,47); a means (see figure 2) for incorporating

Application/Control Number: 10/087,611 Page 4

Art Unit: 2831

said panelboard into a frame structure for the remote distribution cabinet (column 5 lines 28-65).

Regarding claim 17, Garvin discloses the remote distribution cabinet (see figure 2) wherein the panelboard (46,47) comprises a plurality of circuit breakers (68 or see column 6 lines 59-65) and the remote distribution cabinet (10) further comprises at least one access panel (31) covering the plurality of circuit breakers (see figure 1 and 2).

Regarding claim 19, Garvin discloses the remote distribution cabinet (see figure 2) wherein said access panel (31) is adjustable to allow for a shift in the position of the circuit breakers (68) within the panelboard (see figure 5).

Regarding claim 20, Garvin discloses the remote distribution cabinet (see figure 2) comprising at least two panelboards (46,47) wherein one or more panelboards are arranged to receive power from different sources (see figure 7).

Regarding claim 21, Garvin discloses the remote distribution cabinet (see figure 2) further comprising a tie-breaker (see figure 7) for providing power from one of a plurality of sources to one or more panelboards (46,47).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2831

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 5, 13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garvin (US 2,480,568) in view of Skirpan (US 5,202,538).

Regarding claim 5, Garvin discloses the claimed invention except for the access panel (31) allows visual inspection of the circuit breakers without opening the access panel. Skirpan teaches a remote distribution cabinet (10) having an access panel (251) that allows visual inspection of some electrical components inside the cabinet without opening the access panel (column 13 line 16-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make Garvin's access panel (31) of a material that allow visual inspection as taught by Skirpan to provide the user with a panel that will allow visual inspection of the circuit breaker to prevent electric shock hazards.

Regarding claim 13, Garvin discloses the claimed invention except for the access panel (31) allows visual inspection of the circuit breakers without opening the access panel. Skirpan teaches a remote distribution cabinet (10) having an access panel (251)

Art Unit: 2831

that allows visual inspection of some electrical components inside the cabinet without opening the access panel (column 13 line 16-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make Garvin's access panel (31) of a material that allow visual inspection as taught by Skirpan to provide the user with a panel that will allow visual inspection of the circuit breaker to prevent electric shock hazards.

Regarding claim 18, Garvin discloses the claimed invention except for the access panel (31) allows visual inspection of the circuit breakers without opening the access panel. Skirpan teaches a remote distribution cabinet (10) having an access panel (251) that allows visual inspection of some electrical components inside the cabinet without opening the access panel (column 13 line 16-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make Garvin's access panel (31) of a material that allow visual inspection as taught by Skirpan to provide the user with a panel that will allow visual inspection of the circuit breaker to prevent electric shock hazards.

3. Claims 2 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garvin (US 2,480,568) in view of Stephens (US 4,296,574).

Regarding claim 2, Garvin discloses the claimed invention except a bottom member sized to fit the cabinet within a raised floor. Stephens teaches a cabinet (60) having a bottom member is sized to allow the cabinet to fit within a raised floor tile space (see figure 8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Art Unit: 2831

time the invention was made to make Garvin's cabinet with a bottom member sized to allow the cabinet to fit within a raised floor tile space as taught by Stephens to allowed the bottom of the cabinet to be supported by the raised floor supported means to provide the cabinet with stability.

Regarding claim 15, Garvin discloses the claimed invention except a bottom member sized to fit the cabinet within a raised floor. Stephens teaches a cabinet (60) having a bottom member is sized to allow the cabinet to fit within a raised floor tile space (see figure 8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make Garvin's cabinet with a bottom member sized to allow the cabinet to fit within a raised floor tile space as taught by Stephens to allowed the bottom of the cabinet to be supported by the raised floor supported means to provide the cabinet with stability.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The primary reason for the indication of the allowability of claims 3 and 16 are:

Regarding claims 3 and 16 is the inclusion therein in combination as currently claimed of the limitation of the remote distribution cabinet comprising a junction box attached to the bottom member so as to be disposed beneath a raised floor.

Art Unit: 2831

This limitation was found in claims 3 and 16, and is neither disclosed nor taught

Page 8

by the prior art of record, alone or in combination

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Angel R.

Estrada at telephone number (703) 305-0853. The Examiner can normally be reached

on Monday-Friday (8:30 -5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on (703) 308-3682. The fax numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for

regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for after final communication.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

ΑE

July 11, 2003

DEAN A DEICHARD

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800